

### Health and Well-Being Quarter 4 Performance Report 2006/07

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Reference	Title	Service	Frequency & Measure	Good Performance	2005/06 Year-End	2006/07 Target	Current Position as at 31 Mar 07	Predicted Full Year Result	Year on Year Improvement Trend	All England Top Quartile (Based on 2005/06 Year-End data)	Core City Average (Based on 2005/06 Year-End data)	Core City position 1 = Top 8 = Bottom (Based on 2005/06 Year-End data)	Data Quality Issues
CP-EY50a	Number of families supported with childcare, including before and after school clubs where parents are in work	Childcare and Early Years Development Services	Quarterly No.	Rise	1565.00	1662.00	1724.00	1724.00	↑	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Concerns
CP-EY50b	Number of families supported with childcare including before and after school clubs where parents are in work based learning, training or further education.	Childcare and Early Years Development Services	Quarterly No.	Rise	398.00	400.00	470.00	470.00	↑	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Concerns
BV-166a CPA-E27	Score against a checklist of enforcement best practice for environmental health	Environmental Health	Annually %	Rise	96.70	96.70	96.70	96.70	↔	100	93.09	2	Some Concerns
BV-217	Percentage of pollution control improvements to existing installations completed on time.	Environmental Health	Quarterly %	Rise	97.00	90.00	98.80	98.80	↑	100	81.63	2	No Concerns
CP-HM53	Reduce the percentage of Leeds households that are vulnerable and suffer from fuel poverty.	Housing Management	Annually %	Fall	14.30	13.50	27.50	27.50	↓	N/A	N/A	N/A	Some Concerns
BV-201	The number of adults and older people receiving direct payments at 31 March per 100,000 population aged 18 years or over (age standardised by age groups)	Social Services for Adults	Quarterly No.	Rise	31.00	42.78	40.00	40.00	↑	99	71.58	8	No Concerns
BV-56	Percentage of items of equipment delivered within 7 working days.	Social Services for Adults	Quarterly %	Rise	81.70	85.00	89.10	89.10	↑	91	85.32	3	Some Concerns
BV-161	The ratio of the percentage of those young people who were looked after on 1st April in their 17th year (age 16) who were engaged in education, training or employment at the age of 19 to the percentage of young people in the population who were engaged in education, training or employment at the age of 19.	Social Services for Children & Families	Quarterly %	Rise	0.73	0.70	0.87	0.87	↑	0.91	0.69	4	No Concerns
BV-195	Acceptable (DH) waiting times for assessment	Social Services for Older People	Quarterly %	Rise	66.50	80.00	80.70	80.70	↑	83.55	71.26	6	No Concerns
BV-196	Acceptable (DH) waiting times for care packages	Social Services for Older People	Quarterly %	Rise	84.60	88.00	75.20	75.20	↓	91.53	82.88	4	Some Concerns
BV-53	Intensive home care per 1,000 population aged 65 or over	Social Services for Older People	Annually No.	Rise	10.40	11.00	10.00	10.00	↓	16.64	16.07	7	No Concerns
BV-54	Older people helped to live at home per 1,000 population aged 65 or over. (PAF C32)	Social Services for Older People	Annually No.	Rise	118.30	115.00	86.90	86.90	↓	100.10	87.91	1	Some Concerns
CP-OP50	Reduce the number of older people who are admitted to residential and/or nursing care per 10,000 population aged 65 or over	Social Services for Older People	Annually No.	Fall	89.80	85.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### Health and Well-Being Quarter 4 Performance Report 2006/07

BV-119a CPA-C5	The percentage of residents satisfied with sports and leisure facilities	Sport	Annually %	Rise	78 (2003/04)	70.00	57.00	57.00	↓	60.5	56.63	3	No Concerns
CP-SP50	Increase the percentage of adults participating in at least 30 minutes moderate intensity sport and active recreation (including walking) on 3 or more days a week.	Sport	Annually %	Rise	38.00	39.00	24.70	24.70	↓	N/A	N/A	N/A	Some Concerns

	2006/07 Result	2005/06 Result	
Percentage of indicators <b>achieving target</b> at year end - based in Predicated Full Year Result	50%	53%	
Percentage of indicators showing a year on year improvement	50%	67%	
Percentage of indicators showing a year on year decline	43%	22%	
Percentage of indicators in All England Top Quartile	0%	12%	
Percentage of indicators in All England Bottom Quartile	20%	34%	

## Health and Well-Being Quarter 4 Performance Report 2006/07

15
Comments
This higher than anticipated result is likely to be due to a significant increase in families accessing the centres across the city. It is also likely to be due to the successful self promotion and publicity of the centres themselves.
This higher than anticipated result is likely to be due to the significant increase in the number of families accessing the centres across the city. It is also likely to be result of the successful self promotion and publicity of the centres themselves.
We have maintained a score of 96.7 in 2006/07. The target for 2007/08 is to maintain this performance.
Central Government set a target of achieving 90% pollution controls, which Leeds adopts as its target. Exceeded comfortably.
The number of vulnerable households in fuel poverty increased in percentage terms in 06/07 as opposed to 05/06 mainly due to the increase in home energy prices that are beyond the control of Leeds City Council.
Performance is rated in 'acceptable' banding by CSCI. Performance has improved but continues to fall below comparators. Significant legacy of council managed social care services in Leeds has reduced demand for alternatives provided through direct payments. Leeds has implemented many of the pro-direct payment initiatives of high performing authorities. It performs comparably for some service user groups but under performs for learning disabled adults and adults from ethnic minorities.
May be slight undercount. This performance is rated in the top band
Performance is rated in the highest performance banding by CSCI ('Very Good')
Surpassed target.
Likely to be under recording of activity. Performance significantly deteriorated at the start of the financial year but recovered steadily during the year. CSCI rate Leeds performance as 'ask questions about performance'. The issue is being addressed through monthly performance management and reporting at team level.
Performance is rated in 3rd band ('acceptable') by CSCI. Fall in performance relates to around 40 service users. Intensive Home care has served as a proxy indicator for intensive support offered in an authority. Leeds however has significantly high levels of other varieties of intensive support such as that offered through day care which is likely to reduce local demand for intensive home care support.
Data provided on best estimate basis. Apparent decline in performance primarily results from progressive data clean up. Current performance rated by CSCI as 'acceptable'.
This indicator was aligned to PAF-C26 the definition of which has changed for 2006/07. Therefore a result for CP-OP50 will not be reported for 2006/07. A new indicator (CP-OP51) will be created for the replacement indicator which is aligned to PAF-C72 - data is not yet available for the new definition.

## Health and Well-Being Quarter 4 Performance Report 2006/07

This result applies to 2006-07 financial year and CPA 2006 assessment. The significant drop in satisfaction obtained in 06/07 compared to 03/04 result (the highest result in the country) is largely due to the use of a different survey methodology which means the results cannot be directly compared. However the service does recognise that there are other factors that would have contributed to this fall in satisfaction. In 03/04 satisfaction was likely to have been high as a result of the significant refurbishment of facilities that was undertaken before and during the conduct of the survey. The Bodyline initiative had also been introduced boosting the perception of the service. In contrast at the time the survey was undertaken in 2006 the service was receiving a significant amount of bad publicity surrounding the £30m Private Finance Initiative (PFI) and the closure of South Leeds Sports Centre.

This is a CPA as well Council Plan indicator. The information was collected through Sport England's Active People Survey. The final result initially fell below the CPA's 25% lower threshold, however the Audit Commission reviewed their tolerances and dropped the lower threshold to 24%. As a result Leeds is now above the lower threshold. The target that was set for 06/07 used a baseline obtained from the Yorkshire and Humber Sport and Physical Activity Survey with the Public Service Agreement (PSA) 3 target of 1% added per annum. However due to the different methodologies that were used in both the above survey and Active People survey these results cannot be directly compared. At present it is not yet known when the Active People Survey will be undertaken again.